


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte TRESE J. VACKAR

Application No. 10/064,104



ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 6, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

A review of the file indicates that the appeal brief filed April 18, 2005 does not fully comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states in part:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(I) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need

only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(I) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

(ix) *Evidence appendix*. An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) *Related proceedings appendix*. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

A review of the application indicates that the following appropriate sections are missing from the appeal brief filed April 18, 2005:

1) "Related proceedings appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

A supplemental appeal brief that is in compliance with the headings as set forth under 37 CFR § 41.37 is required. For more information on the Board's new rules see the web page entitled More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI, Final Rule at:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.

Application No. 10/064,104


Furthermore, the examiner's answer mailed on June 7, 2005 does not comply with the headings as set forth in the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c). See MPEP § 1207.02. Correction is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be returned to the examiner for: 1) hold the appeal brief of April 18, 2005 defective; 2) request appellant to file a supplemental appeal brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37 or to have the examiner submit a statement regarding positions taken on the missing appendix (i.e., Related Proceedings); 3) consider the supplemental appeal brief; 4) vacate the examiner's answer and provide a revised examiner's answer in accordance with the new rules effective September 13, 2004; and 5) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Craig R. Feinberg  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(571)272-9797

Application No. 10/064,104

cc: Kenehan & Lambertsen, LTD  
John C. Lambert  
1771 E. Flamingo Road  
Suite 117B  
Las Vegas, NV 89119-0839

CRF/tdl